Paranoid thoughts are both distressing and relatively common in the general population. For example, (Freeman et al., 2005) investigated the experience of paranoia in a sample of 1,202 members of the general population. Approximately one third of the sample experienced paranoid thoughts, many of which caused distress, ranging from milder expressions (e.g., people at work are talking about me) to more serious paranoid thoughts often seen in clinical groups (e.g., my food is being poisoned by the police). Similarly, insomnia (i.e., problems getting to sleep and/or staying asleep) is also relatively common, with around one third of people experiencing at least one symptom of insomnia (Ohayon, 2002). Furthermore, there may be an association between paranoia and sleep, as many, if not all mental health difficulties are associated with problems sleeping (Baglioni et al., 2016; Benca et al., 1992), and in recent years a number of empirical studies have reported that the experience of insomnia is closely related to paranoid thinking. For example, insomnia is associated with paranoia in both clinical and non-clinical populations (Freeman et al., 2009), an effect that is likely mediated by affective experiences (Freeman et al., 2009, 2010, 2012; Scott et al., 2017) and emotion regulation (Grezeschak et al., 2017; Rehman et al., 2018). This link between insomnia, negative affect, and the experience of paranoia raises an important question; can interventions primarily aimed at improving insomnia also reduce paranoia?

Current Attempts to Improve Paranoia by Reducing Insomnia

There are a range of treatment options for people experiencing insomnia (for reviews, see Riemann & Perlis, 2009; Smith et al., 2002; Unbehaun et al., 2010). However, perhaps the most effective and widely used interventions are those based on the principles of Cognitive Behavioural...
The effects of the intervention were compared against two control conditions; an active control group (who were simply asked to complete a sleep diary each day) and a wait-list control group (who received no intervention). It was predicted that participants allocated to the intervention condition would report reduced levels of insomnia, negative affect, and paranoia when compared to participants in the active and wait-list control conditions.

Method

Trial Design

This was a single-centre, assessor-blind parallel group randomised controlled trial comprising of three groups; (i) an intervention group who received an online CBTi intervention; (ii) an active control group who completed an online sleep diary for the duration of the intervention; and (iii) a wait-list control group who received no intervention during the study. The trial was retrospectively registered on the International Standard Randomised Controlled Trial Number registry (ISRCTN18384206).

Participants and Procedures

Eligibility criteria

Participants were eligible for inclusion if they were at least 18 years of age. Exclusion criteria for the trial included; (i) problems sleeping due to a physical complaint (e.g., back pain); (ii) currently taking medication for a mental health problem or sleep complaint; or (iii) currently receiving psychological therapy for a mental health problem or sleep complaint.

Participants

Participants were recruited from a mailing list comprising employees at The University of Sheffield who had not opted out of a distribution list set up to advertise opportunities to take part in research studies. Recruitment began in April 2014, and the final participant completed follow-up measures in August 2015. All participants were initially recruited via an email invitation, from here they were directed to the study website where they registered their interest for the study and completed the online baseline measures.

Procedures

After participants registered their interest in the study and completed the baseline measures, they were randomised to one of three groups; (i) an intervention group who received an online CBTi intervention for experiences of insomnia; (ii) an active control group who completed an online sleep diary for the duration of the intervention; and (iii) a wait-list control group who received no intervention during the study. Participants in the intervention group were given on screen instructions on how to navigate the website as well as an overview of the intervention and what was expected of them. Participants assigned to the sleep diary group were given information on how to complete the online sleep diary each day. Participants in the wait-list
control were told that they would be offered the intervention after they had completed the final follow-up measure. The intervention lasted 6 weeks, after which participants were asked to complete post-intervention measures; they were then followed up 4- and 18-weeks later.

**Outcome Measures**

The Insomnia Subscale of the Sleep-50

Insomnia was measured using the insomnia subscale of the Sleep-50 questionnaire (Spoormaker et al., 2005). Participants were asked to indicate (on a 4-point scale) the extent to which 8 statements about their sleep applied to them within the last four weeks, with higher scores indicating more severe insomnia. Four items were concerned with initially falling to sleep (e.g., “I worry so much it prevents me from falling asleep” and “I find it difficult to fall asleep”), and four items were concerned with staying asleep (e.g., “I wake up during the night” and “I sleep lightly”). The insomnia subscale of the Sleep-50 is a valid and reliable tool to measure insomnia, and has been shown to have high internal consistency and good test-retest reliability (Spoormaker et al., 2005). In the present research, the insomnia subscale (alpha = 0.72) proved internally reliable.

The Short-Form of the Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scale (DASS-21)

Negative affect was measured using the shortened form of the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale (DASS-21, Henry & Crawford, 2005). Participants were asked to indicate (on a 4-point scale), the extent to which they agreed with statements assessing facets of negative affect over the past week, with higher scores indicating higher levels of negative affect. For example, 7 statements were concerned with feelings of depression (e.g., “I felt down-hearted and blue” and “I couldn’t seem to experience any positive feeling at all”). A further 7 statements asked participants about feelings of anxiety (e.g., “I was aware of the action of my heart” and “I experienced breathing difficulty”). Finally, 7 questions aimed to explore feelings of stress (e.g., “I found it difficult to relax” and “I was intolerant of anything that kept me from getting on”). In the present research, total negative affect was calculated using the sum total of items in the DASS-21. The DASS-21 has been validated for use in both clinical and non-clinical populations and has been found to be a reliable and valid measure of negative affect (Henry & Crawford, 2005). In the present research, alpha = 0.92.

The Green Paranoid Thoughts Scale – Part B (GPTS-B)

Paranoid thoughts were measured using Part B of the Green Paranoid Thoughts Scale (GPTS-B, Green et al., 2008) that focuses on persecutory thoughts. Participants were asked to indicate the extent to which they agreed with sixteen statements over the previous month (e.g., “Certain individuals have had it in for me” and “It was difficult to stop thinking about people wanting to make me feel bad”) on a 5-point scale where higher scores indicated higher levels of paranoia. A total score was computed by summing each item of the GPTS-B. The GPTS has been validated for use in both clinical and non-clinical populations and has been shown to have good internal consistency and validity (Green et al., 2008). In the present research, alpha = 0.96.

**Intervention and Comparators**

The Sleep Healthy Intervention

An online self-help intervention designed to designed to target factors that contribute to the formation and maintenance of insomnia symptoms was adapted from that developed by Lancee, van den Bout, van Straten, and Spoormaker (2012), which was in turn developed from a preceding RCT of a self-help CBTi intervention (van Straten et al., 2009) and informed by established treatment manuals for insomnia (Morin, 1993). The intervention consisted of six weekly modules based on the principles of CBTi, including; psychoeducation materials, sleep hygiene, stimulus control, relaxation exercises, sleep restriction, cognitive restructuring, and paradoxical intention. Each module was designed to be used in conjunction with subsequent modules, so that participants could draw upon a wide range of methods and techniques by the end of the intervention programme. Table 1 describes each weekly module of the intervention, alongside practical examples from the intervention, and a rationale explaining the insomnia factor(s) that each module targeted.

**Week One**

In the first week (and then throughout the six-week intervention), participants were asked to use a sleep diary to record and monitor their sleep each day, and received psychoeducation about sleep (e.g., the stages of sleep, functions of sleep), as well as the prevalence of problems sleeping and some possible reasons for this. Crucially, participants were introduced to the idea of ‘sleep hygiene’, a term used to describe the different practices, environments, and habits that are conducive, or not, to good sleep (Perlis & Youngstedt, 2000). In week one participants were given educational materials detailing both good, and bad sleep hygiene practices (e.g., substance use including alcohol and caffeine, use of mobile devices before bed). Poor sleep hygiene does not necessarily cause people to experience insomnia; however, it can contribute to its maintenance (Sharma & Andrade, 2012), and is therefore an integral component of many interventions targeting insomnia (Morin, 1993).

**Week Two**

In week two, participants were encouraged to use stimuli control techniques, a technique pioneered by Bootzin (1972), to re-associate the bed and bedroom with sleep. For example, in week two, participants were given information on a range of habits and behaviours that are not conducive to sleeping. Then, participants asked to formulate plans using a planner built into the study website to encourage the adoption of good sleep habits (e.g., “I will get into bed at 10pm each night this week”), and to discourage behaviours that weaken the association between the bed/room and sleep (e.g., “I will ensure I do not watch television in bed this week”). Week two also introduced
Table 1: An Overview of the ‘Sleep Healthy’ Intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Module elements</th>
<th>Module description</th>
<th>Insomnia factor targeted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>Psychoeducation</td>
<td>Participants were provided with information on sleep, sleep problems, and sleep hygiene.</td>
<td>A range of behaviours, habits, and environments that can perpetuate insomnia, and inhibit sleep onset and maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep diary</td>
<td>Participants were asked to complete a diary each day throughout the intervention. Participants were asked to record the time that they went to bed, estimated sleep onset time, total time spent asleep, number nightly awakenings, rise times, and how refreshed they felt in the morning.</td>
<td>The sleep diary was used to inform other intervention modules (e.g., sleep restriction) and help participants to track progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Stimulus control/sleep hygiene</td>
<td>The aim of this module was to re-associate the bed(room) with sleeping by encouraging the adoption of good sleep habits, and discouraging habits that exacerbate problems with sleep onset/maintenance.</td>
<td>Associations with the bed and bedroom as a place for associated with being unable to fall asleep, and/or stay asleep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive muscle relaxation</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to contract and relax muscle groups progressively over time in a set order.</td>
<td>The increased physiological arousal associated with insomnia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep diary</td>
<td>Continued from previous week.</td>
<td>As above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>Sleep restriction</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to go to bed later than usual to ensure that the time spent in bed is spent sleeping (rather than tossing and turning, watching television etc.). Sleep restriction was used in conjunction with stimulus control (see above), and was titrated so that sleep is more or less restricted (in 30 minute blocks), depending on the time taken to fall asleep.</td>
<td>The consistent sleep/wake cycles that this method encourages helps to regulate the irregular sleep patterns commonly reported by those with insomnia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imaginative relaxation</td>
<td>Participants were encouraged to visualize a peaceful and relaxing scene (of their own choice) when they were struggling to sleep. This included describing the place in their minds, picturing the scenery and smells, as well as maintaining controlled regular breathing.</td>
<td>Targets the increased cognitive arousal that is often associated with insomnia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep diary</td>
<td>Continued from previous week.</td>
<td>As above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>Challenging misconceptions</td>
<td>This module addressed common negative thoughts and feelings about insomnia (e.g., “I need 8 hours sleep or I will be too tired to function tomorrow”).</td>
<td>Addresses misconceptions that can feed into both night-time (e.g., becoming anxious the longer that sleep onset takes to occur) and day time arousal (e.g., attributing all day time fatigue to the previous night’s sleep).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep restriction review</td>
<td>Participants were asked to review their sleep diary data. If their sleep efficiency (i.e., a ratio of total spent asleep compared to the total amount of time in bed) was 80% or greater, they were instructed to loosen sleep restriction by going to bed 30 minutes earlier. However, if sleep efficiency was below 80%, participants were instructed to go to bed 30 minutes later than last week.</td>
<td>Behavioural goals and outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep diary</td>
<td>Continued from previous week.</td>
<td>As above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>Challenging misconceptions</td>
<td>A continuation of week four – new thought processes around sleep were put in place and practiced (e.g. “I will get out of bed and read for 10 minutes if I am struggling to fall asleep”).</td>
<td>As above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep restriction review</td>
<td>Sleep restriction reviewed in line with week four.</td>
<td>As above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep diary</td>
<td>Continued from previous week.</td>
<td>As above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six</td>
<td>Paradoxical intention</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to get into bed and purposefully stay awake.</td>
<td>Reduces the ‘performance anxiety’ of falling asleep by asking participants to stay awake, thus reducing pre-sleep arousal, and inducing sleep onset.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep restriction review</td>
<td>Sleep restriction reviewed in line with week five.</td>
<td>As above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep diary</td>
<td>Continued from previous week.</td>
<td>As above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
participants to the first of two relaxation methods – ‘Progressive Muscle Relaxation’, or PMR (i.e., contracting and relaxing muscle groups progressively over time in a set order). People experiencing insomnia often report high levels of cognitive and/or physiological arousal that can inhibit both getting to sleep and staying asleep (Harvey, 2002). PMR can be an effective method for reducing pre-sleep arousal, particularly physiological arousal (McCallie et al., 2006; Sharma & Andrade, 2012).

**Week three**

In week three, participants were asked to self-administer sleep restriction therapy, a form of controlled, systematic partial sleep deprivation that is intended to promote quicker sleep onset over the following days/weeks. Participants were asked to go to bed later than usual, at a time in line with how long they usually spend asleep rather than their desired total sleep time (i.e., someone who spends 9 hours in bed, but only 6 hours sleeping would be asked to restrict sleep to 6 hours in the first instance). The sleep restriction is titrated, so that if participants began to report falling asleep within an acceptable time frame (i.e., 15 to 20 minutes), the sleep restriction was reduced and the allotted time for sleep increased. Sleep restriction was used in conjunction with stimulus control, was restricted to a maximum of five hours sleep, and was reviewed at weekly intervals throughout the remainder of the intervention. The consistent sleep/wake cycles that this method encourages are able regulate the irregular sleep patterns commonly reported by those with insomnia (Taylor & Roane, 2010). Finally, in week three, participants were guided through an imaginative relaxation technique that they could use if they struggled to fall asleep, a method that has been associated with reduced cognitive arousal before bed (Sharma & Andrade, 2012).

**Week four**

In week four, a number of misconceptions around sleep were discussed and participants were asked to reflect on whether and how these misconceptions might influence their own insomnia. Some common misconceptions about sleep that this module aimed to address were the idea that “I have to get at least eight hours sleep a night otherwise I will not function tomorrow”, “I’m missing out on sleep, so I better have a nap to catch-up”, and “I have lost control of my ability to fall asleep”. This module explained how the thoughts feelings and behaviours that surround these misconceptions can impact on insomnia and create a vicious circle. For example, people who believe that they require at least eight hours to function the next day can ‘clock-watch’ and count the hours until their alarm goes off, which can subsequently lead to increased frustration and anxiety that starts the cycle again.

Participants were encouraged to break these cycles by completing an online CBT exercise. First, participants were asked to recall recent situations where they have struggled to sleep, before writing down their thoughts at the time (e.g., “If I do not fall asleep soon, then I will not function tomorrow”), how they felt about the situation (“I was frustrated and anxious because I had an important meeting the next day”), and how they responded (“I kept looking at my alarm and counting the hours until it went off, tossing and turning all the while”). Participants were then asked to think about potential more positive thoughts (e.g., “If I do not sleep eight hours, then I will be tired, but I will still function tomorrow”), feelings (e.g., “I am frustrated I cannot sleep straight away, but feeling anxious will only make it worse and I am confident that I will fall asleep soon”), and behaviours (e.g., “I will turn the clock away from me and try a relaxation exercise”) to focus on instead. Participants were asked to complete these exercises whenever they experienced problems sleeping. Finally in week four, participants reviewed their sleep restriction. If sleep efficiency was 80% or greater, then they could loosen sleep restriction by going to bed 30 minutes earlier. However, if sleep efficiency was below 80%, then participants were instructed to go to bed 30 minutes later than last week (providing that they allowed at least five hours’ total sleep).

**Week five**

In week five, participants built on the techniques and exercises that they had learnt so far. No new content was introduced; however, participants were asked to complete the CBT exercises from week four with any new situations that they had encountered.

**Week six**

In the final week of the intervention, participants were encouraged to try paradoxical intention therapy if they were still struggling to sleep. Paradoxical intention essentially requires participants to get into bed and purposely stay awake. In other words, this module prescribes the symptom as part of the solution; something that has been shown to improve sleep quality (Ascher & Turner, 1979; DeBord, 1989; Perlis et al., 2010). The rationale behind paradoxical intention assumes that problems falling asleep are, in part, maintained by anxieties around the act of falling asleep itself. For example, cognitive models of insomnia suggest that the thoughts, feelings, and behaviours that arise from difficulties falling asleep can ‘snowball’ over time, with each previous negative experience contributing to future ‘performance anxieties’ around the act of falling asleep (Harvey, 2002). Paradoxical intention attempts to reduce this ‘performance anxiety’ around sleep onset by asking participants to do the opposite by staying awake (Perlis et al., 2010; Sharma & Andrade, 2012).

**Intervention delivery**

The intervention was self-administered by the participants, with no contact from the research team, peers, or professionals (with the exception of the initial recruitment email). The website functioned on both laptops and personal computers, as well as tablets and mobile devices. Upon first login, participants were presented with an introductory homepage with instructions on how to use the website, and a navigation panel to the left of the screen showing an overview of each week of the intervention.
participants allocated to the wait-list control group. N = 330 participants who were excluded: N = 12 as their insomnia was better explained by a physical health problem; N = 6 as they were currently using medication for a sleep complaint; and N = 18 as they were currently receiving psychological therapy. N = 91 participants did not complete any of the outcome measures at baseline, leaving N = 330 participants who were randomised to one of the three groups. N = 94 were randomised to receive the Sleep Healthy intervention, N = 100 were randomised to the sleep diary only group, and N = 136 were randomised to the wait-list control group.

Participant Attrition
There was a relatively high level of dropout throughout the study, with N = 224 (68%) of the baseline sample dropping out of the study. Participants randomised to the intervention group were marginally more likely to drop out of the study (85%) than participants in the sleep diary group (76%), OR = 1.80, 95% CI = 0.87 to 3.74, p = 0.078, and significantly more likely to drop out than participants in the wait-list control group (59%), OR = 4.00, 95% CI = 2.06 to 7.76, p < 0.001. Similarly, participants allocated to the sleep diary group were

Blinding
As randomisation to groups was conducted automatically by the study website, the research team was blinded to allocation. Furthermore, all outcome measures were collected online, and completed independently by each participant, meaning the research team were also blind to assessment. However, participants were not blind to allocation.

Approach to Analysis
The effect if the intervention on outcomes was examined using linear mixed effects modelling. This approach accounts for natural correlation between repeated measurements and allows all available data to be included, rather than, for example, excluding participants who only provided data at some time points (Gueorguieva & Krystal, 2004)(Gueorguieva & Krystal, 2004). The main effects of group, and time, as well as an interaction between group and time were as tested using Restricted Maximum Likelihood estimation (REML) and an unstructured covariance matrix. Given the relatively high level of missing data due to participant attrition (i.e., between 58% and 73% missing data across all time points), and that the data was not missing at random (X^2(121) = 204.16, p < 0.001), multiple imputation is not advised (Jakobsen et al., 2017). Therefore, the analyses are based on actual available data and not imputed values.

Software and Materials
SPSS version 25 (IBM, 2017) was used to conduct the primary analysis and outcome measures were delivered online using Qualtrics.

Ethics
Ethical approval was granted by the Research Ethics Committee in the Department of Psychology at the University of Sheffield on the 15th January 2014.

Results
Participants

Figure 1 shows the flow of participants through the trial. N = 457 participants were screened for eligibility between April 2014 and February 2015, and N = 36 participants were excluded: N = 12 as their insomnia was better explained by a physical health problem; N = 6 as they were currently using medication for a sleep complaint; and N = 18 as they were currently receiving psychological therapy. N = 91 participants did not complete any of the outcome measures at baseline, leaving N = 330 participants who were randomised to one of the three groups. N = 94 were randomised to receive the Sleep Healthy intervention, N = 100 were randomised to the sleep diary only group, and N = 136 were randomised to the wait-list control group.

Randomisation
Following completion of the baseline measures, participants were automatically randomised using an algorithm built into the study website with an approximate allocation ratio of 1:1:1. Immediately after randomisation, participants were given on screen instructions that detailed their allocated group (i.e., intervention, active control, or wait-list), along with instructions regarding what to do next.
significantly more likely to drop-out than those allocated to the wait-list control group (OR = 2.22, 95% CI = 1.25 to 3.93, p = 0.007). Gender was not significantly associated with drop-out (OR = 1.42, 95% CI = 0.83 to 2.41, p = 0.123); neither was severity of insomnia at baseline (B = 0.00, SE = 0.03, p = 0.952), negative affect (B = −0.02, SE = 0.01, p = 0.086), or paranoia (B = 0.05, SE = 0.02, p = 0.725). However, age did significantly predict drop out, with younger participants being more likely to drop-out (B = −1.14, SE = 0.13, p < 0.001).

**Effect of the Intervention on Insomnia, Negative Affect, and Paranoia**

Figures 2, 3, and 4 display the estimated marginal mean scores over time (and by group) on insomnia, negative affect, and paranoia, and Table 2 shows the estimated marginal mean scores by group over time. There was a significant effect of time on insomnia (F(3, 123) = 21.32, p < 0.001, η² = 0.34, 95% CI = 0.20 to 0.44), negative affect (F(3, 117) = 3.90, p = 0.011, η² = 0.09, 95% CI = 0.01 to 0.18), and paranoia (F(3, 100) = 4.12, p = 0.009, η² = 0.11, 95% CI = 0.01 to 0.21), with all groups showing improvements in these outcomes at follow-up relative to baseline. However, there was no significant effect of group on levels of insomnia (F(2, 216) = 1.11, p = 0.330, η² = 0.01, 95% CI = 0.00 to 0.05), negative affect (F(2, 255) = 1.972, p = 0.141, η² = 0.02, 95% CI = 0.00 to 0.05), or paranoia (F(2, 259) = 1.66, p = 0.192, η² = 0.01, 95% CI = 0.00 to 0.05). Furthermore, there was no significant interaction between group and time on insomnia (F(6, 129) = 1.52, p = 0.177, η² = 0.07, 95% CI = 0.00 to 0.12), negative affect (F(6, 135) = 0.64, p = 0.701, η² = 0.03, 95% CI = 0.00 to 0.06), or paranoia (F(6, 99) = 1.29, p = 0.268, η² = 0.07, 95% CI = 0.00 to 0.13). These findings suggest that insomnia, negative affect, and insomnia reduced over time irrespective of group allocation. However, it is important to note that, although the analysis included all available data, due to participant attrition the analysis was statistically underpowered to detect an effect (see Table 2 for analysis N at each time point).
Figure 2: Estimated Marginal Mean Scores For Each Group Over Time for Insomnia.

Figure 3: Estimated Marginal Mean Scores For Each Group Over Time for Negative Affect.
### Table 2: Estimated Marginal Means, Standard Errors and 95% Confidence Intervals by Time and Group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Sleep diary</th>
<th>Wait-list</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative affect</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paranoia</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-intervention</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative affect</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paranoia</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.95</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4-weeks</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative affect</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paranoia</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18-weeks</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative affect</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paranoia</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data presented are the estimated marginal mean scores (standard error).
Discussion
The present research aimed to investigate whether an internet delivered self-help CBTi intervention designed to improve sleep could reduce insomnia, negative affect, and paranoid thinking. Our analysis suggested that the intervention did not improve insomnia, negative affect, or paranoid thinking, and that participants generally saw reductions in these outcomes over time irrespective of the group they were randomised to. However, the analyses were likely underpowered due to a relatively high level of dropout. Therefore, it cannot be ruled out that the null findings are due to a lack of statistical power, rather than the absence of an effect of the intervention on insomnia, negative affect, and/or paranoid thinking. Furthermore, the findings reported here are in contrast to recent, large-sample randomised controlled trials that have examined the effect of improving sleep on paranoid thinking and affective experiences. For example, Freeman et al. (2017) randomised N = 3,755 University students to receive an unguided digital CBTi intervention or usual care and found large-sized effects of the intervention on insomnia, small-sized effects on paranoid thinking, and small-to-medium sized effects on depression and anxiety (see also, Denis et al., 2019). Additionally, Christensen et al. (2016) reported that relative to an active control, participants receiving digital CBTi reported significantly lower depression symptoms at both post-intervention, and 6-months post-intervention. Consequently, although the present research is unable to add to a growing body of evidence that digital CBTi can lead to reductions in paranoia and negative affect, it does appear that improving insomnia as a route to improving mental health outcomes can be viable. However, it is also clear that dropout is a serious issue in online interventions in both the present study, and those reported elsewhere (Christensen et al., 2016; Eysenbach, 2005; Freeman et al., 2017; Lancee et al., 2012), and therefore represents a clear target for future research, which we expand on below.

Understanding and tackling drop-out from online interventions
Drop-out from online interventions is common and represents a major challenge to researchers, clinicians, and policy makers alike (Ahern & Le Brocque, 2005; Geraghty et al., 2010). Indeed, Eysenbach (2005) states the case for a ‘science of attrition’ that distinguishes between non-use attrition (i.e., not engaging with the intervention itself) and drop-out attrition (i.e., losing participants to follow-up). The present research was limited in that we did not measure the extent to which participants used the intervention; however, we found that younger age groups, and those randomised to the intervention and sleep diary groups were less likely to complete the follow-up measures than those allocated to the wait-list control group. Although data on the factors associated with attrition from online CBTi interventions is limited, evidence generally supports the notion that participants’ age is associated with drop-out (Beatty & Binnion, 2016; Davis & Addis, 1999), with younger age groups often associated with increased attrition (Warden et al., 2009). Furthermore, as was found in the present research, participants allocated to intervention groups are generally more likely to drop-out of health behaviour change trials than those allocated to control groups (Cruzen et al., 2015).

One explanation for the higher rate of drop out from the intervention, relative to control, conditions may be the increased demands that the intervention tasks placed on participants. For example, participants in the intervention conditions were asked to complete a sleep diary and submit this online on a daily basis. Such tasks may have been perceived as onerous and potentially abandoned if the participant encountered a barrier or felt that they were falling behind. One approach might be to augment interventions with Behaviour Change Techniques (BCTs) that are directly targeted at improving engagement (Garnett et al., 2015; Morrison et al., 2014; Perski et al., 2016; Webb et al., 2010). For example, participants might be prompted to form if-then plans (or ‘implementation intentions’, Gollwitzer, 1999) that link suitable opportunities to act (specified in the if part of the plan) with instrumental responses to those opportunities (specified in the then part of the plan). For example, a person using self-help CBTi may form a plan to complete their sleep diary as soon as they have finished breakfast: “As soon as I have finished my breakfast, then I will complete my sleep diary!” Implementation intentions have been shown to be an effective way of achieving intended goals (Gollwitzer & Sheeran, 2006), and to aid engagement with self-help interventions (Shah, Hunt, Webb, & Thompson, 2014; Varley et al., 2011).

The differential rate of attrition between the groups could also be due to raised expectations in the intervention group relative to controls, in that if the intervention does not meet expectations (i.e., by improving the target symptom/behaviour) participants dropout (or ‘implementation intentions’, Gollwitzer, 1999). Participants allocated to control groups will likely not hold as high expectations (if any), therefore may be more likely to remain in the trial. The effect of expectations may have been exacerbated in the present research as some of the more effective components of CBTi were introduced later in the 6-week intervention, while components that have had less support were delivered early in week one. For example, Harvey, Inglis, and Espie (2002) reported that sleep hygiene education and relaxation exercises were not associated with treatment effect, while sleep scheduling techniques such as stimulus control (i.e., re)associating the bedroom with sleep) and sleep restriction (limiting time spent in bed awake), and also cognitive restructuring of maladaptive thoughts about sleep were strong predictors of the effect of the intervention on sleep parameters. However, in the present intervention, these more effective components were not introduced until week two (stimulus control), week three (sleep restriction), and week four (cognitive restructuring). This problem may have been further compounded by the use of a ‘tunnelled’ navigation system, which meant that participants in the intervention group could only access next week’s content after they had accessed the previous week’s content in full.
**Take Home Messages**

A relatively high rate of attrition meant that the present study did not have sufficient statistical power to provide a robust test of the impact of an online, self-help CBTi intervention on outcomes. Our primary take home message, therefore, is that attrition from digital CBTi interventions represents a major barrier to future trials aiming to test the effect in improving sleep on mental health outcomes and warrants consideration.
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